

Honorable Samuel J. Steiner  
Chapter 11  
Hearing Date: November 12, 2010  
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Hearing Place: Seattle, WA  
Response Date: November 5, 2010

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

In re

ADAM R. GROSSMAN,

Debtor.

No. 10-19817-SJS

REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO  
MOTION FOR ORDER FOR  
DISGORGEMENT OF FEES

Jill Borodin ("Rabbi Borodin") hereby replies to Debtor's objection to Motion For Order For Disgorgement of Fees (the "Motion").

**I. RABBI BORODIN HAS STANDING TO REQUEST THE  
DISGORGEMENT OF MS. TSAI'S FEES**

The Debtor erroneously states that Rabbi Borodin, not being a creditor in this action, does not have standing to move this Court for an order for disgorgement of fees. Assuming, arguendo, that Rabbi Borodin is not a creditor in this action, as the soon-to-be ex-spouse of the Debtor, she has a pecuniary interest in the Debtor's estate, and is undisputedly a party in interest. As such, she has standing to request disgorgement of unlawfully disbursed estate

REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR  
ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 1

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

www.crockerlaw.com

1 funds. The Debtor has cited no authority supporting the claim that only a creditor has  
2  
3 standing to request disgorgement of fees to the Debtor's bankruptcy estate. Moreover,  
4  
5 Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code allows this Court to address and rule on the issue of  
6  
7 disgorgement of Ms. Tsai's fees sua sponte, and provides: "No provision of this title  
8  
9 providing for the raising of an issue by a party in interest shall be construed to preclude the  
10  
11 court from, sua sponte, taking any action or making any determination necessary or  
12  
13 appropriate to enforce or implement court orders or rules, or to prevent an abuse of process."  
14  
15 Therefore, this Court has authority to order the disgorgement of Ms. Tsai's fees.  
16  
17

18  
19 **II. THE PAYMENT OF MS. TSAI'S RETAINER WAS MADE WITH FUNDS**  
20 **THAT WERE PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE**  
21

22 The Debtor's claim that Ms. Tsai's retainer in the amount of \$7,500 was made by  
23  
24 Peter Hendrickson directly to Ms. Tsai is in direct conflict with the Debtor's sworn  
25  
26 testimony at the Debtor's hearing required under Section 341 of the Bankruptcy Code (the  
27  
28 "341 hearing"). Below are excerpts from the transcript of the 341 hearing:  
29  
30

31  
32 Courshon: I don't know if you've discussed this with your attorney, but in a  
33  
34 Chapter 11 you are acting as a debtor-in-possession representing the bankruptcy  
35  
36 estate and normally if you want to borrow money, you have to get court approval.  
37  
38 You need to give notice to creditors and set a hearing. So you are saying you  
39  
40 borrowed \$25,000 without getting court approval after filing of the petition?  
41

42 Grossman: Right.

43 Courshon: Who did you borrow that money from?

44 Grossman: My friend

45 Courshon: Who's your friend?  
46  
47

REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR  
ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 2

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

www.crockerlaw.com

1  
2 Grossman: Steven J. LeBlanc  
3

4 Courshon: On an unsecured basis?  
5

6 Grossman: Yes  
7

8 Courshon: What was the date you borrowed the money?  
9

10 Grossman: Approximately two weeks ago  
11

12 Courshon: Do you have any loan documentation?  
13

14 Grossman: A promissory note, yeah.  
15

16 Courshon: Could you provide a copy?  
17

18 Grossman: Yes...  
19

20  
21  
22 *See Docket Entry No. 35; Exhibit A to Declaration of Ida Werner, pages 3-4.*  
23

24 TS: You have recently hired a new family lawyer, is that correct?  
25

26 Grossman: Correct.  
27

28 TS: Is that Emily Tsai?  
29

30 Grossman: Yes  
31

32 TS: Do you remember the date that you hired her? Was this post petition or pre-  
33 petition?  
34

35 Grossman: Post  
36

37 TS: Was it court approved?  
38

39 Grossman: No  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR  
ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 3

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

[www.crockerlaw.com](http://www.crockerlaw.com)

1 Courshon: You'll probably need to, if you're going to hire her to represent you in  
2 legal proceedings with your wife, you'll probably need to have her employed as  
3 special counsel.  
4

5 O'Conner: [inaudible] do that one, too.  
6  
7

8 Courshon: Ok, you could do that one too.  
9

10 O'Conner: I have some questions to ask you about that, but we can do that after.  
11

12 Courshon: Right, we can do that after the meeting, sure.  
13

14 TS: Can you tell me the date she was hired?  
15

16 Grossman: Um [long pause], let me just think, I think it was approximately the  
17 12<sup>th</sup> -[inaudible] I signed on the 15<sup>th</sup>; she signed on the 16<sup>th</sup>.  
18  
19

20 TS: August or September?  
21

22 Grossman: September, yeah, she signed on the 16<sup>th</sup>, so I guess that makes the  
23 contract executed on the 16<sup>th</sup>.  
24  
25

26 TS: Did you pay her a retainer?  
27

28 Grossman: I did.  
29

30 TS: What amount?  
31

32 Grossman: Um, \$7,500. That was part of the 25,000 loan.  
33  
34

35 TS: How was this funded?  
36

37 Grossman: That was part of the \$25,000 loan.  
38  
39

40 TS: Ok. Have you paid her since then? Since the retainer?  
41

42 Grossman: \$7,500...  
43  
44

45 *See Docket Entry No. 35; Exhibit A to Declaration of Ida Werner, page 28-30.*  
46  
47

REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR  
ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 4

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

[www.crockerlaw.com](http://www.crockerlaw.com)

1 In sum, despite the Debtor's sworn testimony that he had borrowed \$25,000 from  
2 Steven LeBlanc and had used a portion of that loan to pay Ms. Tsai's retainer, he now  
3  
4 claims that the retainer was paid with funds that were not property of the estate, rather, a  
5  
6 direct payment by a third party directly to Ms. Tsai on "no strings attached" basis.  
7  
8

9  
10 For these reasons, the Court should grant Rabbi Borodin's motion requesting  
11  
12 disgorgement of fees paid to Ms. Tsai by the Debtor.  
13

14  
15 DATED this 9th day of November, 2010.  
16

17 CROCKER LAW GROUP PLLC  
18

19  
20 By /s/Tereza Simonyan  
21 Shelly Crocker, WSBA #21232  
22 Tereza Simonyan, WSBA #41741  
23 Attorneys for Jill Borodin  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

REPLY TO DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR  
ORDER FOR DISGORGEMENT OF FEES - 5

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

[www.crockerlaw.com](http://www.crockerlaw.com)